

Date IES Completed: 8 Oct 86

PROJECT SUN STREAK (U)

Intelligence Evaluation Sheet

SECTION I

Does the information
satisfy your Intelligence
Collection Requirement?

YES



IN PART



NO

CANNOT BE
DETERMINED

SECTION II

This section deals exclusively with the accuracy of the information provided in this report only. Disregard any previous data or IES forms you may have already received when completing this section of this form. Responses are scaled from 0-4 utilizing the following explanatory criteria:

- 0 - Little or no correspondence with known or suspected components of the target site.
- 1 - Evidence that the proper target site is being described, however the information contains a mixture of correct and incorrect elements.
- 2 - Good site correspondence with several items recognizable or confirmatory in nature but some incorrect or irrelevant information is reported.
- 3 - Good correspondence with the target with unambiguous and unique information and relatively little known incorrect information.
- 4 - Data correctly fulfills tasking in a manner which positively enhances current holdings and operational interest in this site by reporting on heretofore unknown data.

11a - Geographic locale description, i.e., terrain, bodies of water, mountains, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT BE DETERMINED
11b - Large scale manmade objects, i.e., cities, railyards, silos, docks, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT BE DETERMINED
11c - Small scale manmade structures, i.e., antennae, computers, vehicles, missiles, small buildings, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT BE DETERMINED
11d - General target functions, i.e., research, production, training, storage, troop billets, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT BE DETERMINED
11e - Specific target functions, i.e., nuclear testing, CBR storage/research, missile testing, etc.	<input type="checkbox"/> 0	<input type="checkbox"/> 1	<input type="checkbox"/> 2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4	<input type="checkbox"/> UNKNOWN	<input type="checkbox"/> CANNOT BE DETERMINED

III - Personalities at site, i.e., descriptions, activities, responsibilities, political proclivities, support for government.

0 ☐

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

UNKNOWN ☒

CANNOT BE DETERMINED ☐

IV - Data of predictive importance, i.e., plans, preparations hostile intentions.

0 ☐

1 ☐

2 ☐

3 ☐

4 ☐

UNKNOWN ☒

CANNOT BE DETERMINED ☐

Section III
Please check which best describes the reliability of the information provided, based on the known data pertaining to this target, (understanding that subsequent information could raise or lower this interim assessment at a later date).

☐

VERY USEFUL

☒

USEFUL

☐

MARGINAL VALUE

☐

NO VALUE

☐

CANNOT BE DETERMINED

Section IV

Has information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been labelled erroneous, irrelevant or whose relevance could not be determined, been found to have subsequent importance? If so, please explain by noting the LES control number (if any) and the specific nature of the information that was previously discounted and the reasons for current reevaluation. Please use a separate sheet of paper for your comments.

N/A

SECTION V

Has information previously provided to you by this office pertaining to this target which may have initially been rated "Of Value" (or better), been subsequently found to be of a lesser rated reliability or erroneous in nature? If so, please explain by noting the IES control number and the specific nature of the information that was previously rated and the reasons for the current reassessment. Please use separate sheet of paper for your comments.

N/A

NOTE: This organization solicits your frank and informal comment on any aspect of this project which could better aid us in providing high quality intelligence to the consumer. Please feel free to use the space below, (or additional sheets of paper as necessary), in any format you deem adequate to convey your thoughts.

MOST INFORMATION WAS OF CONFIRMATORY NATURE.
LEVELS OF SECURITY OF TRANSMISSIONS IS NEW DATA +
WILL BE INVESTIGATED.